

Cross Reference of Verbal Comments (Telephone Calls)

Code	Last Name	First Name
VC 01	Pierson	Lloyd
VC 02	Barros	Rob
VC 03	Coomer	Ben
VC 04	Marks	Max
VC 05	Timothy	Becky
VC 06	Cooper	Billie
VC 07	Edmonds	Mary
VC 08	Slade	Timothy
VC 09	Young	Larry
VC 10	Unknown	
VC 11	Metzler	Mike
VC 12	Soltice	William
VC 13	Anonymous	
VC 14	Timothy	Rhea
VC 15	Pacheco	Leola
VC 16	Pacheco	Vic
VC 17	Peterson	Phebe
VC 18	Morris	Father
VC 19	Shoe	Mary Helen and Jerry
VC 20	VanSickle	Bunny
VC 21	Johnson	Ferd
VC 22	Johnson	Wayne
VC 23	Martinez	Mary
VC 24	Anella	Dennis and Lana
VC 25	Taten, Jr.	Fred
VC 26	Olson	Yuvonna
VC 27	Lipsey	Mildred
VC 28	Nielson	Dorothy
VC 29	Nielson	Delmar
VC 30	Alexander	Donnie
VC 31	Unknown	
VC 32	Cook	Paul
VC 33	Thate	Bob and Juanita
VC 34	Graham	Nadine
VC 35	Martinez	Paul
VC 36	Basso	Cindy
VC 37	Doran	Liza
VC 38	Hale	Robert
VC 39	Cisneros	Julia
VC 40	Faucett	Hector
VC 41	Unknown	
VC 42	Hobbitt	Bob
VC 43	Norton	Janell
VC 44	Kuykendall	Emma
VC 45	Scherschel	Pat
VC 46	Ward	Justin
VC 47	Palmer	Phillip
VC 48	Patterson	Robert
VC 49	Nichols	Max and Marie
VC 50	Dambrosall	Janice and Dominick

Toll-Free Number Telephone Calls

- VC 1 I am a 40-year resident of Moab and bother by the fact that no one pays attention to the aesthetics, how it looks. It is an ugly eye soar. I realize most worry about the radiation flowing into the river and Bloody Mary Wash. It would make a beautiful park in remembrance of those who worked at the mill who have died of cancer while working to keep our wonderful country safe. Only way to make it beautiful is to move the pile.
- VC 2 I would like more information on the EIS and to be on the mailing list. I became aware of Moab three or four years ago. I spoke to Congressman Fillner who introduced legislation to Congress. It was revised and then passed by Congress and through the Senate. I will be at the meetings in January and would like more information prior to that so I can ask relevant questions. We need to consider that rather than moving the nuclear waste as it sits, we should consider rerouting a section of the river. I am getting people together to put a foundation together to aid in the raising of funds.
- VC 3 I am a Green River resident and an elected official. I am not a fan of moving the Moab tailings pile over the road. It's the worst thing that could happen. It would cause airborne exposure and danger in transportation. I am willing to bet that if it could be researched, the projected road deaths and deaths associated with moving it over the roads is probably greater than the known effects of the tailings themselves. If we move the pile it should be done with a slurry. Surely, a 7 or 8 mile pipeline back up the salt wash or salt dome areas is less expensive and safer than any other method. To move under a salt cap formation should be safer. Green River has been interviewed as a possible site to move them to because the Moab tailings sit on the Colorado River; however, so does the Green River site. I will write a letter with these concerns.
- VC 4 I am definitely against hauling in of the uranium soil to the ECDC dump down here. There's already too much stuff going there; and besides that, a lot of people are moving out of here already. A lot of empty houses in East Carbon, Columbia, and Sunny Side; and we are not for it at all. Thank you.
- VC 5 I'm calling concerning the uranium tailings they are looking at shipping to ECDC. First of all, I'd like to say I belong to the citizen's group CAN. ECDC came in and did not follow initially how they were suppose to do their facility anyway. They made promises to our environment group that on wind row trees to protect the highway and East Carbon City. Connie McCourt made comments in the newspaper how the gusts come up and circle around over to Columbia. That is a concern. Also the amount of industry we have in our area with ECDC, Sunnyside, CoGen, West Ridge, also Lila Canyon mine maybe opening up. We have enough industry in the area for the amount of air particulates we have in the air. Let alone all of DOE's impact studies. The impacts it would have on our

community itself. I believe Moab generated these tailings, they should take care of them. We don't need someone else's problems. ECDC is not permitted for this type of waste. We don't need anymore than we've got which is more than enough under Utah State legislation. I'm opposed to bringing this waste to ECDC.

VC 6 I'm calling about your project of putting Moab tailings at the dumpsite here. I object to this very adamantly. What about all of our young children in this neighborhood? What about the young adults? What about all the people? You got to think about us. There's no way possible that you should do this to people that live here. A lot of people that are here have lived here a lifetime. They're retired miners that have been here and want to continue their life style. Think this over thoroughly before you do this to us.

VC 7 I'm very concerned about all this radiation and stuff coming into our area for the health of not only myself, but everyone in our county. We're already down wind of one. I'm also worried about what all this will do to our water supply. If we should have floods and stuff it will come to the top and what not. What about the dust blowing all over? We're going to breathe it. It's going to be in our homes, it's going to be everywhere. It can't be good for us, our growing children, or the plants we eat. It will be everywhere. The impact on the growth, it will probably slow down. I don't know the tourism. People say that Utah is going to be the dumping ground for the United States. Of course we are concerned about our housing and land prices. People are not going to want to move here if this all gets out. What about accidents on the road and over the mountain? You'd be scared to drive. We already have enough accidents on the mountain and stuff. There could be spills everywhere. I think they should do something else with it. We have enough in Utah.

VC 8 I'm a life-long resident of Carbon County. I want to comment on the proposed movement of the tailings from Moab to Carbon County. I think that amount of material is prohibitive to move that kind of material over the open road or by rail car into our county. We want to have growth potential and I feel that would be compromised. We don't know when this thing will end once they are licensed to bring radioactive material in. What's to stop it from coming in from everywhere? We just don't want it here. I think we need to get with our legislators and people in the government so they will move those materials away from the ground water on the river the shortest distance possible and put in a landfill and cover the landfill. We definitely don't want it in our county and there are a lot of people in our county against it.

VC 9 It makes absolutely no sense at all to bring the nuclear waste superfund clean up from Moab to Carbon County. It's Grand County's problem. We don't need it down here and I'm total against it.

- VC 10 Want to comment on the moving of the Moab tailings. We feel it would be better to move it to Klondike Flats; number three.
- VC 11 I'm with Bridge Realty located in Price, Utah. I'm calling on behalf of myself and the Carbon-Emery Board of Realtor's. We are definitely against having nuclear waste stored in East Carbon. We believe it will affect property values. We believe the locations where the nuclear material was created should be responsible for storing it. That dump had a stipulation with the City of East Carbon that there would be no nuclear nor uranium tailings, or nothing of that nature be stored there. I'll be glad to speak at any public hearings if you'd like me to do so.
- VC 12 I favor the removal of the tailings pile to either Klondike Flats or Crescent Junction. That would appear to be the situation that would involve the shortest travel distance and wouldn't necessarily interfere with safety concerns for people living in more populated areas. The Klondike Flats proposal might end up being less money.
- VC 13 I am calling anomalously against the action of the contaminated soil being brought to East Carbon City for disposal. I am concerned with the transportation part and the dust and the hazards of it coming down the highway. We got a lot of traffic now with the coal trucks and we got major traffic coming between Moab and East Carbon. I don't think we want to add something contaminated coming along the road. For it to be located in our area, I'm just against it. I am an East Carbon resident and against it.
- VC 14 My concerns of bringing the uranium tailings from Grand County putting it in Carbon County. I am definitely against it and my concerns are all of them. All five of the concerns. Thank you.
- VC 15 I'm calling in regarding of Moab tailings that are being considered to bring into East Carbon City. I am very opposed to bringing them in here because it is hazardous. I would like very much to be put on the list to further that I do not want these tailings to be brought into East Carbon City.
- VC 16 I am opposed to the bringing of the tailings of Moab to our East Carbon City and I would like these to be recorded. Thank you very much.
- VC 17 I am very concerned about these tailings being moved. I'm concerned for people living in the Carbon County area. For the water, the air, and other problems that can arise from those tailings moving. I and others in the area are already having health problems as it is; and to have these come in and add to what we've already go, to me is very scary. I'm concerned about accidents that could happen on the road from Moab to East Carbon because it is very narrow in places. I think we have enough killing as is. I think this should be changed and not allowed in our county. Thank you for your time.

- VC 18 I want to state my opinion as you have requested. I think its unconscionable situation that radioactive uranium would even be possible to come to East Carbon that is within a mile of two cities. That's the most ridiculous conclusion. It's unjust. I want to make it perfectly clear that's not serving the greater good, not serving the people to take the uranium from one backyard to put in our backyard when the towns are closest to ECDC. They were keeping a commitment of non-toxic, non-hazardous materials, and apparently they have been cheating too with the asbestos and so on. That's an injustice and a crime, and it's hurting our people. I want to make this very strongly before those who make decisions that are not in the best interest of our people.
- VC 19 Calling about the tailings that you are thinking about moving to the East Carbon dump. Boy, we've had enough of them in this area from St. George. We have enough cancer in this area. We certainly don't want them here. If they are as safe as you say they are, why can't they stay where they are or move them somewhere else. We have enough here. We feel very strongly against it. Thank you.
- VC 20 I am very against them moving any waste across the State of Utah. I agree with all the comments that were in the mailing. Ground water contamination. Impacts on human safety and health. Impacts on protected and endangered species and critical habitat. I'm pretty much against that one. Impacts on floodplains, wetlands, cultural and historical resources. Socio-economic impacts. Impacts on air, soil, and water. Noise and visual impacts. Disproportionately high and adverse impacts on minority and low income populations. Long term surveillance and maintenance of the site. Future land uses. Impacts from natural disasters or climate changes such as seismic activities. Impacts on traffic and transportation systems. I am very also upset about the fact that when this material is transferred, it can sit for days in any town before it's moved. That's very unsafe for the habitants of towns such as Helper, UT. Sometimes trains get parked there in one place by my brother-in-law's home for days. I just don't think Utah needs to have this dangerous stuff in the state at all. Thank you.
- VC 21 I'm calling about the slurry pipeline. I'm in favor of this over the other sites. Moving this to Blanding, this mill site down here. I appreciate the opportunity to do this. Thank you. I'm very much in favor.
- VC 22 I wanted to call about the slurry tailings or the mill tailings in Moab. I am very much in favor of sending this to the mill site in Blanding. I'll do the slurry pipeline. Thank you very much.
- VC 23 I just wanted to call and say I am totally, 100-percent against this Moab waste coming up here. I know it would be bad for the environment and people's lives, and I really hope this won't be done. Thank you.

VC 24 We are very concerned about it. We don't want it in our town. We're concerned about the radioactive.

VC 25 I am very concerned about the radioactive material that is proposed to be hauled from Moab to East Carbon. I live about 20 miles from the dump that is proposed for use. I am very concerned about the health and safety my children and grandchildren, and their children and grandchildren. I am worried about the impact it will have on our water supply. I am concerned about the impact it will have on land and housing prices. I cannot understand why the material can't stay where it was generated. We did not get any benefit from when that mill was working. So, why shouldn't the waste material stay where it is and be covered. I am behemothly against bringing the material to East Carbon. Thank you.

VC 26 I am opposed to the tons of contaminated uranium mill tailings coming from Grand County to East Carbon because of the water contamination, wildlife mutations, human life mutations. I have a grandson who was born with a cleft palette in Moab in 1981. I lost a daughter to lung cancer in 1998. She lived in Moab for approximately 35 years; and my son is about 1 ½ miles east of the East Carbon dump. So, I am very much against this. Thank you.

VC 27 I am calling concerning the transfer of the tailings from Moab. I guess it being transferred from any place to any place. It's done enough damage already where it is and it is no sense of trying to make it elsewhere. Thank you.

VC 28 I personally feel these tailings from down there in Moab need to be left there and took care of. Why hinder more lives moving it, putting it on the public highways. The people of the Moab area received the income from it, they should deal with the problem instead of sending it to somebody else. So, I am absolutely against it moving. I need to protect my future generations of Carbon County and this is one way I can. Thank you very much.

VC 29 I would like to make a statement on the waste they are talking about bring up from Moab up to Sunnyside dump. I think that would be a bad move for our state. Bringing that contaminated waste up in there and opening up that so they could have toxic waste brought into it all the time. It would just let more and more come in through our state and we don't want that kind of stuff in our state. They created that down there in Moab years and years ago and they should have taken care of it them. Bringing it up here and drive on the highway, having all the troubles of bringing it and hauling it up through here. I just don't believe bringing all that dust into Carbon County and open up that thing to give that place a license to do whatever they want to do. There would be accidents and spills. It would affect land prices. We need something brought into Price here. We need the work. Our kids, 21-23 years old can't even find a job. Bringing in the waste wouldn't help that. It would get in the water supply system and livestock. I can't see how it would help our county, whole state, or country, our grandchildren and

stuff. We have to worry about them, take care of them. There are a lot of safety issues and we don't need anything like that in our county.

VC 30 I'm calling about the uranium tailings (disconnected).

VC 31 I am against moving the radioactive uranium to East Carbon. It's a bad, very bad thing. I feel bad to have it down there among you people too, but I don't want it up here and I wanted you to know that. I am grateful for being able to be told to do with it. I haven't even heard of it. I hope and pray that he won't get to move that stuff up in our area and contaminated us. I feel bad to think it's down there to where it can contaminate others. It's too bad for that. I say this most humbly in the name of Jesus Christ. Amen.

VC 32 My husband and I are adamantly opposed to the dump ground of the tailings from Moab to be put in East Carbon. We would like to go on record for saying 'No'. We do not want that in our county, East Carbon.

VC 33 We are very deeply opposed to any of the mill tailings coming in from Moab to ECDC. We live in East Carbon and the dust right now blows off of that project and we don't need anything like these mill tailings. We are absolutely against it.

VC 34 I'd like to say I am against the radiation from Moab to be moved to the East Carbon landfill.

VC 35 I own property adjacent to ECDC on the north side of the road. It's ranching property. I'm concerned about possible contamination of the removal of radioactive waste from the Moab area to the East Carbon area. Are there any plans to clean up my property if that dust does blow over and contaminates my agricultural and ranching lands? That's my main concern.

VC 36 I'm calling about disposal of waste from Moab. I think they should send it to the Crescent Junction site or keep it in Moab. Because Moab had that to begin with and to ship it all that just is kind of a waste of money. I think it's feasible to send it to Crescent Junction because it's farther away from the water project. But we definitely don't want it in the East Carbon disposal waste.

VC 37 I am opposed to the Atlas mill tailings being brought to White Mesa. I attended your DOE presentation, in 40 minutes of which I don't think once was the human population brought up. That's because in this area, the land is thought to be waste land and the population disposable. The White Mesa Ute Tribe is closest, but since this is sparse population and the cultural identity, it is thought to, I believe, for the people who line their pockets with the money to move this stuff, thought not of consequence. In other words, move this stuff to where these people are because they are not useful. That sounds harsh, but that's the truth. White Mesa is a dump, it should not even be called a mill. It encompasses 6 square miles. It was bought and built as a dump. It puts itself out as an extraction and money

maker and something for local jobs. That is not true. It is made as a dump. That is how they make their money. It's really Union Carbide. NRC looks the other way. The cells leak. There's no regulatory oversight. It's just a bad place to put something, even; geologically speaking. An environmental impact study should be done on the White Mesa Mill itself. The tailings that would come there if they should send them there, are very dangerous. They include much more than radioactive waste, other hazardous materials are included in that. There should also be an environmental impact statement done on what is included in there. My final statement is about jobs. The proposal to the local community here is that this would generate jobs in the building of the pipeline, on and on. But it doesn't say anything about harming the jobs of the people who already live there, the tourism, that work in the school systems. Maybe, one thing that would buffer it up is that people who work in health care would have more work to take care of sick people and cancer and all that money that would be gained could be spent on those people. That sums it up. We all know this is a poor proposal and we know it's a dump.

VC 38 Robert Mauser (Engineer) will contact John Elmer and send a report on their product. Has a product Envirolastic® (poly urea) that they spray on nuclear wastes. Acts as a buffer to radiation. Using it at Hanford. Works for company that distributes products. Can we use it at Moab to cover the tailings? Robert Mauser, Engineer at Hanford, use it on equipment to lower radiation levels. Poly urea is 100 percent alpha/beta blocker; won't crack. Spray on ¼ or more, hardens in minutes. RPP 7806 – RMIS Document system at Hanford. Titled "Project W314, Polyurea Special Protective Coating and Test Report Chemical Compatibility and..."

VC 39 I'm strongly against the tailings that are wanting to be brought to East Carbon. We were promised it would never happen and they could have been put elsewhere, but they never keep their word on it. It will be just like it was before. They could be put elsewhere, but instead will be put on our front door. That's how close I am to our beautiful dump there; or whatever you want to call it. I imagine their word will be as good as it was then. The whole city was against it. But did it do us any good? We had meeting after meeting, only to be known as the largest landfill in the United States. Everybody is so proud of it. It's a bunch of baloney in my words. I hope they don't put it here, we have had enough already.

VC 40 I am calling to object to the proposal to put uranium tailings near the or in the ECDC landfill. My primary concerns are health and safety. My father happens to work at the ECDC landfill, and I'm concerned about his health. As a pharmacist who fills prescriptions almost daily for people suffering from various types of terminal cancer, there's little doubt in my mind that those things can be prevented when there's so many people that drive to and from East Carbon every day, and so many people who work at the landfill. That's my primary concern as well as if the uranium tailings come to Carbon County, what's going to happen next? What

other contaminated or radioactive waste will come after that? Those are my main concerns.

VC 41 I do not want that coming through Price. I do not want it in East Carbon. I don't want my son have to be with it. I don't want it with my grandkids. I don't need it. If the Republicans want it to make themselves rich, make it on their own town, not down here.

VC 42 I wanted to comment on the tailings pile in Moab. You have five locations. Personally, I think it should be left where it's at and covered up at that point. Contained in that original spot; due to the fact that it strings it around so much by moving it. If it does have to be moved, I think the shortest distance that you can move it, which is your number three location, would probably be as good as any location that can be designated for it. I think moving it to a dump like East Carbon dump, which is not suppose to have any hazardous materials in it, would be out of the question. Crescent Junction dump would be no different than your number 3 dump site, which would be just as adequate as Crescent Junction and closer. Your Blanding millsite is already getting contaminated dirt out of New York and places put in it and I think that's unfair to the people in San Juan County. Not only if they took the Moab tailings down there, because they can't get any more out of these Moab tailings, they're not going to do anything with it, but move it and they have to cover it up some place. So, it should be covered up at the closest point you can do so. As far as it getting washed into the river on the Moab site, that would probably never happen in anyone's lifetime. I think it would be a waste of time and taxpayer's money to transport it. Your number 3 site would be my suggestion if you are going to transport it. It will still be in the Colorado drainage, no matter where you put it with the same changes of it washing into the river. I see no advantages to moving it. (Disconnected)

VC 43 I am very much against having East Carbon as a waste site for radioactive materials. I don't believe in bringing it here. I have kids right here in Wellington that if any winds are blowing, my kids are in danger, we're in danger, health wise. Where it's at, you're going to be hauling it on the roads or in the trains. If anything was going to happen that way, it's not just Moab that's contaminated, it's going to be everything in between, if there was an accident. Plus, you would be contaminating two different places where they're already contaminated in Moab. I don't believe in bringing it to East Carbon.

VC 44 I am not in favor of you moving the tailings to East Carbon, ECDC's landfill. I was a County Commissioner at the time that that was permitted and we went to great lengths to be assured that there would never be any hazardous waste placed in the landfill. We helped them get their permits. We helped them in everything that we could with the stipulation that there would never be any hazardous waste there. Please, please remember this because we do not want this in our county.

VC 45 I am against transporting contaminated radioactive waste in Utah. I don't care where it came from and I don't care where it's going, but I don't want it on our roads. It has been in Moab for years; they made the money off of the uranium, so let them pay for their repairs or just let them keep it. I don't care which, but I don't want it on our roads.

VC 46 Storing Uranium at ECDC is a bad, bad decision. It's toxic and it's just bad.

VC 47 I would like to comment regarding the Moab Project. I would be in favor if it has to be moved and that it would be transported or piped to the Blanding mill site for reprocessing or disposal. I am not that concerned about the environment or health risks that people talk about. I've lived here all my life. This material has been produced out of Mother Earth, and I don't think its bad being a product of Mother Earth. The good that it could do in being reprocessed and producing a product that is marketable or providing employment for people who live in this area, far out-weigh any of the risks that people think exists if this is done. I'm thankful at being able to voice my opinion and comment.

VC 48 I was the Engineer who got the tailings finally covered at Atlas and got rid of those unsightly half torn down buildings. I'm not particularly above it having my name in the lights anywhere, but I just mentioned to one of your people there, I can't remember her name, but she was sitting next to Mr. Berwick, between Mr. Berwick and the other gentleman in front there, when you were in Moab. Anyway, I do have quite a bit of information that could be quite useful to you, in case you have not noticed this information among the Atlas stuff that you got from them. Basically, it explains exactly where all the mill materials were disposed, and how we disposed them, and buried them, and so forth. I've got a lot of other material which could be very, very useful to you and I'm interested in help you as much as I can. I wouldn't mind even getting on your payroll actually to help you out. Anyway, I'm going to be in Grand Junction on Tuesday next week, the 18th of February and I wouldn't mind dropping by and leaving this information with you, at least some of it. I would have preferred to have spoken with someone. You've caught me off balance. I would like to hear from someone before Tuesday.

VC 49 I want to make some comments on the Moab, Utah project that is coming to East Carbon. I don't want to get that here because I'm an asthmatic and I already have trouble and I think it will cause me more trouble. I don't want my grandkids, my great grandkids to grow up in that environment. We have animals and I don't want it to affect my animals. The dust blowing around here is going to cause a lot of problems around here. My husband has heart disease and it's going to cause him more problems. I think it's going to contaminate the water if we ever have any. Also, the air for all the people anywhere around here. I don't know how far this will go, but we don't want to find out either. Everybody will be sick and we're going to need more hospitals and doctors. I'm afraid it's going to hurt our

crops, our gardens, everything like that. My husband and I are against this uranium pollution coming in here.

VC 50 I would like to see our air stay as clean and as pristine as it is right now. That's one of the advantages of living in this area. We don't have to have all of the impacts and stuff from this kind of thing. I'm against having these radioactive tailings in our county.